cemerald insight



Journal of Place Management and Development

My city - my brand: the different roles of residents in place branding Erik Braun, Mihalis Kavaratzis, Sebastian Zenker,

Article information:

To cite this document:

Erik Braun, Mihalis Kavaratzis, Sebastian Zenker, (2013) "My city – my brand: the different roles of residents in place branding", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 6 Issue: 1, pp.18-28, <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331311306087</u> Permanent link to this document:

https://doi.org/10.1108/17538331311306087

Downloaded on: 15 November 2017, At: 12:08 (PT) References: this document contains references to 51 other documents. To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 7755 times since 2013*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2014),"Let them do the work: a participatory place branding approach", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 7 Iss 3 pp. 225-234 https://doi.org/10.1108/JPMD-06-2013-0016">https://doi.org/10.1108/JPMD-06-2013-0016

(2008),"Place marketing: how did we get here and where are we going?", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 1 Iss 2 pp. 150-165 https://doi.org/10.1108/17538330810889989

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm: 387340 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.



The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available at www.emeraldinsight.com/1753-8335.htm

My city – my brand: the different roles of residents in place branding

Erik Braun

Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Mihalis Kavaratzis School of Management, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK, and Sebastian Zenker

Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Purpose – This paper deals with the importance of residents within place branding. The aim of this paper is to examine the different roles that residents play in the formation and communication of place brands and explores the implications for place brand management.

Design/methodology/approach – The paper is based on theoretical insights drawn from the combination of the distinct literatures on place branding, general marketing, tourism, human geography, and collaborative governance. To support its arguments, the paper discusses the participation of citizens in governance processes as highlighted in the urban governance literature as well as the debate among marketing scholars over participatory marketing and branding.

Findings – The paper arrive at three different roles played by the residents: as an integral part of the place brand through their characteristics and behavior; as ambassadors for their place brand who grant credibility to any communicated message; and as citizens and voters who are vital for the political legitimization of place branding. These three roles make the residents a very significant target group of place branding.

Originality/value – Residents are largely neglected by place branding practice and their priorities are often misunderstood, even though they are not passive beneficiaries but are active partners and co-producers of public goods, services and policies. This paper highlights that only meaningful participation and consultation can produce a more effective and sustainable place branding strengthening the brand communication and avoiding the pitfall of developing "artificial" place brands.

Keywords Place branding, Place marketing, Internal branding, Stakeholder participation, Residents, Brand management, Brands, Marketing

Paper type Conceptual paper

Introduction

As identified in the earliest literature on place marketing and branding (Ashworth and Voogd, 1990; van den Berg and Braun, 1999; Kotler *et al.*, 1993), the three main target groups are residents, companies, and visitors. Although reasonable, this assertion inappropriately limits the role of residents to merely target markets, and may even incline some marketers to only consider new residents as target markets of place branding. However, residents could also be vital participants in the place branding process. They are not just passive beneficiaries or place customers, but could be active



Journal of Place Management and Development Vol. 6 No. 1, 2013 pp. 18-28 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 1753-8335 DOI 10.1108/17538331311306087

IPMD

6,1

18

partners and co-producers of public goods, services and policies (Freire, 2009; Hospers, 2010; Olsson and Berglund, 2009). Thus, a critical analysis of the potentially different roles of residents in place branding is needed and it is contended here that residents have a more active role to play, since the participation of and the dialogue with stakeholders in place branding is extremely relevant (Bennett and Savani, 2003; Braun, 2011; Kavaratzis, 2012).

This resonates with most of the literature on urban governance highlighting the importance of participation of citizens in governance processes (Garcia, 2006; Bingham *et al.*, 2005; Zenker and Seigis, 2012) as well as the debate among marketing scholars over participatory marketing and branding. The participatory approach to marketing and branding highlights the significance of internal audiences (Ind and Bjerke, 2007) and positions the branding process as a dialogue between stakeholders (Hatch and Schultz, 2009). Internal branding strategies, for example, try to increase the brand commitment among all of a company or institution's internal stakeholders (Burmann and Zeplin, 2005) in order to make them true brand ambassadors.

Theory and practice of place branding show considerable shortcomings in considering the role of the residents in the place branding process. Hence, the aim of this paper is to analyse the multifaceted role of residents in the place branding process and to explore the implications for place brand management.

Place branding and the different roles of residents

The branding of places (and cities in particular) has gained popularity among city officials and academics in recent years, as illustrated by popular city brand rankings such as the Anholt-GMI City Brands Index (Anholt, 2006), or the first meta-analyses of the academic field by Gertner (2011) and Lucarelli and Berg (2011). Multiple definitions for place branding have arisen and several scholars note that no single accepted definition currently exists (Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2005; Hankinson, 2004). In light of this, some have proposed that the essential feature of a brand is "nothing more and nothing less than the good name of something that's on offer to the public" (Anholt and Hildreth, 2005, p. 164). Fundamentally, this "good name" or reputation exists in the minds of the consumers in terms of brand knowledge and could be seen as a network of associations in consumers' minds (Keller, 1993; Keller and Lehmann, 2006). Similarly, Zenker and Braun (2010) focus on a place brand as a network of associations in the consumers' mind based on the visual, verbal, and behavioural expression of a place, which is embodied through the aims, communication, values, behaviour, and the general culture of the place's stakeholders and the overall place design. This definition shows that brand perception can differ strongly between target groups because of varying knowledge levels possessed by the target audiences and the different demands for a place (Zenker, 2011). More importantly, it highlights the role of all stakeholders, their behaviour and their culture, as well as the need to avoid an overly heavy focus on external target groups in place branding.

Amongst the few contributions that have actually addressed the residents in the place branding process (Olsson and Berglund, 2009; Insch and Florek, 2010), especially the studies of Bennett and Savani (2003) and Merrilees *et al.* (2009) illustrate the need for a closer examination of the role of residents. The study of Bennett and Savani (2003, p. 81) showed that residents were not considered to be an important stakeholder in the (re)branding of places:

19

Downloaded by Göteborgs Universitet At 12:08 15 November 2017 (PT)

JPMD 6,1

 $\mathbf{20}$

[...] new brand identities were determined in a top-down manner by local government authorities. In reaching strategic brand identity decisions a local authority would consult extensively, systemically, and formally with business interests and owners of property in the area. Conversely, consultations with existing residents were irregular and usually *ad hoc*.

The findings of Merrilees *et al.* (2009, p. 365) reveal a "vacuum of previous research in fully understanding the antecedents of city brand attitudes" indicating that consulting residents more could improve place branding theory and practice. They found that the most important community attributes influencing residents' city brand attitudes are social bonding, a strong brand personality and business creativity, whereas nature, cultural activities and shopping – the most reported attributes in the literature – only came second. Below, we take a closer look at the contribution of residents and identify the three-fold role of residents in place branding.

Residents as integrated part of a place brand

The first role of residents is as an integrated part of the place brand: this could be the result of a deliberate brand strategy, but it is more often a natural process steeped in the fact that residents are the "bread and butter" of places. Residents and their interactions with each other and with outsiders obviously form the social milieu of a given place. According to Warnaby (2009a), it is the combination of the physical setting and this social milieu that facilitates the experience of a locale. Freire (2009) has discussed the role of local people in place branding, showing that they are indeed a critical dimension for the formation of place brands. His research on British users of the Algarve and Costa del Sol place brands demonstrates that local people are used as an indicator for the evaluation of place brands, as a justifier for place brand consumption and as a differentiating factor between place brands. For instance, Freire (2009) reveals that the perceived degree of friendliness in local peoples' attitudes is a crucial element in destination evaluation. Also other researchers addressed this point of view, for example, Vanolo (2008) seeing the local people as one part of the creative image of the city of Turin. An interesting example in that respect is also the "Be Berlin" campaign launched in 2008 by the German capital: as Collomb and Kalandides (2010, p. 187) have analysed, the campaign (especially its early stages) has been a relative innovation in place marketing practices, "because it gave Berliners a voice in shaping the external representations of their city". The residents of Berlin had the chance to express their views on their city through the telling of personal stories that connected them to the city; some of these personal stories have been used in the city's promotional campaign. In this way, the representation of Berlin to the outside world, as well as the effort to form the new Berlin brand, started with the residents as an integrated part of the city brand.

Residents as ambassadors for their place brand

The second role of residents is that of ambassadors for the place brand. in the city brand communication model developed by Kavaratzis (2004), perceptions of cities are formed by three types of brand communication:

 the primary communication, which could be described as the city's actions themselves, including the architecture and real place offerings as well as the city's behaviour;

- (2) the secondary communication, which includes formal communication like all forms of advertising or public relations; and
- (3) the tertiary communication, which refers to the word-of-mouth generated by the residents of a city.

The perceived authenticity and trustworthiness of word-of-mouth again highlights the important role of residents in the place brand communication process (Braun, 2011). The views of residents are significant for external target markets as they are naturally considered informal, authentic and insider sources of information about the place. One example is the very common feature of destination marketing campaigns, where celebrities born in the place are used to advertise the place. Examples range from opera singer Andrea Rost promoting Budapest, to Hollywood actors Ben Stiller and Kevin Bacon promoting New York City. However, the argument here is certainly not limited to celebrity-status residents. All residents are able to transmit these reliable messages about their place individually and collectively as a community, for instance, through social network sites, where residents have the chance to discuss their attitudes towards their place with other people, such as potential visitors and potential movers. This aspect of the residents' role in place branding has significant resonance with two general marketing notions: first, the notion of the customer as co-creator of value (Achrol and Kotler, 1999) or as co-creators of the whole place product (Warnaby, 2009b); and second, the notion of internal branding and the concept of "living the brand", which supports the need to transform every member of the organisation into a brand champion (Elliott and Percy, 2007). This role of residents calls for involvement and participation in the place branding effort as such involvement increases the chance of becoming brand ambassadors. Strongly involved citizens, in contrast to mere residents, will demonstrate positive behaviour beyond their "normal" duties defined by law and social norms (Katz, 1964). Involvement in the branding effort will lead to increased ownership of the brand and therefore more sense of responsibility for its development, management and external reputation.

Residents as citizens

The most neglected role in place branding theory and practice is the role of residents as citizens. Residents choose their local government officials, have political power and participate in political decisions. This participation is simultaneously a right and an obligation for citizens, meaning that it is also the obligation of place authorities not only to guarantee such participation but also to provide opportunities for citizens to actively contribute to decision making. The process of place branding implementation should not be an exception. This might be a challenge as branding needs a sharp focus in order to differentiate your offering from the offerings of competitors (Keller, 1993). Braun (2011) argues that the implementation of place branding requires striking a balance between a distinctive focus for the place brand and wider support in the place's communities. Riezebos (2007), admitting some level of exaggeration, asserts that applying branding and democracy are incompatible. This is a matter that has to do with the difficulties inherent in the attempt to impose a brand from the top rather than letting it grow from the bottom. In fact, this is a growing concern of several commentators regarding the same top-down approach commonly demonstrated in the corporate world (Hatch and Schultz, 2003). In the case of corporations, however, the normal organising practices ensure that there is an "authority" with the responsibility to develop and manage the corporate or product brand. It has the right to allocate necessary resources as requested and the power to impose the brand on employees (as part of the organisational culture). This is a reality with very little resemblance to place branding where political and democratic legitimization of brand values, brand policies and the necessary investment to develop and pursue those is vital. First, local authorities have to explain, justify and defend their place branding-related actions against several types of political control. Second (and perhaps uniquely in the case of place branding), the necessary coherency between the place brand, its values, its propositions and all measures that communicate the brand requires that local people support and assist in the process for place branding to be effectively developed.

The agreement, support and assistance of local people cannot be taken for granted, as evidenced by the so-called "non-official" or "counter branding" campaigns. These are grass-roots movements of citizens who group together to raise their voice against official place branding campaigns. In some cases these movements are limited to a series of discussions; in others the citizens go further, suggesting alternative politics to local authorities and even arranging counter branding campaigns. For instance, when the organisation responsible for marketing the city of Amsterdam introduced the "I Amsterdam" brand, a group of residents responded with an "I AMsterdamned" counter suggestion. Perhaps the most documented case is the story of the McEnroe group that torpedoed the "we are up and going" campaign of Marketing Manchester (Ward, 2000). This McEnroe group consisted of entrepreneurs, students, artists, and other groups who collectively criticized the presented city brand as a poor reflection of the city and its people and their opposition ultimately ended the campaign.

Discussion

The three roles described above, make the residents a vitally important target market for place branding. This is not common ground in the place marketing literature: Kotler et al. (1993, 1999) have advocated an economic and external focus for the target markets of places: visitors, business, and residents as employees. The "marriage" of residents and employees reduces residents to productive workers and understates the role of residents as the most important place customers. Rainisto (2003) uses another externally oriented classification akin to Kotler *et al.* (2002), in which residents are limited to "new residents". We argue instead, that the existing residents should be prioritized as they form the most prominent audience for place branding. They may oppose place branding efforts aimed solely at potential new residents (Bennett and Savani, 2003), resulting in a feeling of alienation between residents and the communicated place brand. Additionally, the current residents and their characteristics form an integral part of the place brand in the mind of these targeted new residents; there is a clearly manifested and natural connection between residents being the target markets and the role of residents as an integrated part of the place brand. When the differences between the current residents and the potentially new residents produce what one might call a resident gap, targeted new residents may very well avoid moving due to the inability to identify with the values, behaviour and culture of the current residents, while existing residents may find reason to leave the place. In this way, place branding extends beyond the visitor-friendliness of the local

 $\mathbf{22}$

population that is so important for the tourism industry: the current residents also send messages to potentially new residents.

The abovementioned resident gap could also become apparent when particular resident groups within a city have very little in common with any of the other city's communities. This could lead to potential tensions between interest groups in the city with different perceptions of their place. Place branding could heighten inherent conflicts regarding the identification of different groups with the communicated place brand. Thus, place branding should be aware of those potential conflicts and also understand itself as a process of conflict management, with the aim of integrating as many residents as possible.

On a more positive note, new and existing residents who can easily identify with the communicated place brand will likely become ambassadors of the place brand. In their role as integrated part of the place brand, residents negotiate (intentionally or not) the meaning of this brand: they form the place brand to a great extent and this negotiated meaning is what might be broadcasted to the outside world. This makes the relationship between the role of residents as integrated part of the place brand and the role of residents as ambassadors of the place brand obvious. Place marketers should acknowledge the role of residents as brand ambassadors and aim to mobilise civic pride and the sense of belonging of residents as a means of communicating the place brand. If handled well, this approach can become a very powerful word-of-mouth tool for strengthening and communicating the place brand. Getting it right is no easy task, however, especially if policy makers do not see the relationship between this ambassadors of their place, but dissatisfied residents may become the most valuable ambassadors of their place.

By far the biggest challenge for place brand managers is the role of residents as citizens, as they could "make or break" the whole place branding effort. One should not expect residents to respond automatically to the place brand, as they could ignore it or remain indifferent. They also wield the potential power to challenge the communicated place brand, and have the right to invoke that power as the place brand owner. The role of residents as citizens is arguably a prominent role for the reasons outlined earlier: it is as citizens that residents legitimise the place brand efforts and actually "finance" most of the efforts and expenses involved in place branding (Zenker and Martin, 2011). Failing to consider this role carries with it potentially significant consequences: residents may be unable to fulfil the remaining roles and find themselves feeling alienated from the meaning and essence of the place brand. If residents are not treated as citizens, it is very unlikely that they will respond positively to any targeting effort or expectation of them to act as communicators and ambassadors of the place brand.

Implications for place brand management

Even though participation is absolutely necessary for a successful place branding strategy, it is a very challenging task. Places in general are very complex constructs and the residents are organised in complex structures (e.g. with their different cultural backgrounds, values and beliefs, as well as their place demands). Offering participation to all those diverse groups of residents will be more demanding than a top-down approach, but engaging a main portion of residents in place branding could be beneficial as indicated above. In pursuit of this goal, place brand management urgently

My city – my brand needs to strengthen the communication between residents and the city's officials, as well as give more control to the residents themselves, planning for resident participation in every stage of the place branding process. Arguably, the significance attributed here to residents – and specifically the suggestion to consult them and listen to them at all stages of the branding process – challenges place authorities. It is a very demanding exercise in terms of political will and risk-taking because place authorities may find themselves outside of what Ind and Bjerke (2007) call the "zone of comfort". A better understanding of the role of residents calls for a focal change from the communication-dominant approach to a participation-dominant approach. The investment needed for such an approach is not investment in communicating the place brand, but investment in its antecedents: it is an investment in sharing the meaning of the place brand, alongside its ownership and control.

This process is most important for the stage of defining aims and building a strong shared vision. As we pointed out with the third role of the residents, the legitimatisation of those aims is the crucial step for a successful strategy and a shared vision is the basis for further integration of residents in the process. The question, of course, is: how should place marketers stimulate genuine participation of residents in place branding? To find a shared vision, different approaches from the political and economic science have been introduced – for example the Delphi method discussed by Virgo and de Chernatony (2006) – but unfortunately these are not yet widely used in practice. The methods used in participatory action research and especially applied ethnography (Chambers, 2000) can also become tools for participatory place branding, especially since these are designed to inform policy making. Even a survey on the residents' views and evaluations can be thought of as a participatory tool, or at least as an indication of good intentions. As the study of Olsson and Berglund (2009, p. 139) discovered, the most preferred way of participation in the urban planning and management of the Swedish town of Arboga is "by being asked, for example via surveys".

On a related note, netnography (Kozinets, 2010) might also offer a reasonable method, at least for those parts of the city's population who are actively involved in city-related online communities. In general, the advancement of online communication technologies radically affects the roles that residents play in place branding and offers a multitude of opportunities for the integration of existing residents in place branding decision making. Via blogs, social media, online communities, or simple comments in web sites residents have the chance to contribute freely to discussions and either reinforce or reject messages. Furthermore, the increased literacy in these online communication media arguably increases the willingness to participate in such discussions. The online world also provides enhanced opportunities for place marketers to engage with all stakeholders and form essential relationships with them. As Warnaby *et al.* (2011, p. 258) note, apart from the necessary relationships with place marketing actors, these relationships should extend to "residents within the area, in order to develop what mainstream marketing theory would call brand loyalty".

Interestingly, as already stated in the introduction, the importance of meaningful participation also entered the debate among marketing scholars. Two concepts developed within this participatory branding approach are of particular relevance for our discussion on the role of residents: the first is the concept of brand co-creation (Warnaby, 2009b; Hatch and Schultz, 2010), which stresses the fact that brands are not formed through traditional communications, but are co-created by a multitude of

people who encounter and appropriate them. The implication follows that official brand communication is inevitably only one of the inputs in this process of co-creation. The second concept is the call for greater involvement of stakeholders in branding (Gregory, 2007), which posits the need to empower the relevant stakeholders and allow them to participate freely in creating the brand. Both of those notions centre on the fact that stakeholders both receive and assume control of brand meanings (Hatch and Schultz, 2010; Kavaratzis, 2012), making them part of the brand (experience). The discussion about brand communities also takes this idea into account (Muniz and O'Guin, 2001). A brand community – a social aggregation of brand users who share a strong relationship to a brand as well as other members of the brand community (McAlexander *et al.*, 2002) – is developed and altered by the brand users, since they become part of the brand and as a result create additional value for the brand (Schau *et al.*, 2009). With their brand use and brand community engagement, those members also become ambassadors for the brand through word-of-mouth, social networking, and impression management (Schau *et al.*, 2009).

Concluding remarks

Surprisingly little theoretical or empirical evidence has been published on the role of residents in place branding. This article has attempted to establish the foundations of a "resident orientated" approach to place branding.

The challenge, of course, is that the residents of places do not constitute a coherent group but include a multiplicity of groups of people that are bound to have varying and conflicting preferences, desires, or, attitudes. For instance, local entrepreneurs who are also residents will be inclined to have different expectations from their place's brand than people who are not economically active. Students living in the area will have a different set of desirable place attributes than residents in a different life-stage.

However, it is argued that a form of place branding that integrates the views, oppositions, and desires of the residents is warranted. It is a very significant task for place branding to consider the three roles of residents and integrate the residents across every stage of the place branding process. Therefore, the article has also identified possible methods of action, which might enrich place branding practices with a more participatory spirit. Of course, all of these methods need to be tested in practice before a final evaluation can be undertaken. If such attempts prove to be effective, this might lead to a refined and, in our view, improved place branding approach. We hope that the exploration and clarification of the role of the residents that has been undertaken here will trigger a discussion and contribute to such an approach to place branding.

References

- Achrol, R.S. and Kotler, P. (1999), "Marketing in the network economy", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 63, pp. 146-63 (special issue).
- Anholt, S. (2006), Anholt City Brand Index How the World Views Its Cities, 2nd ed., Global Market Insight, Bellevue, WA.
- Anholt, S. and Hildreth, J. (2005), "Let freedom and cash registers ring: America as a brand", Journal of Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 164-72.
- Ashworth, G.J. and Voogd, H. (1990), Selling the City: Marketing Approaches in Public Sector Urban Planning, Belhaven, London.

My city – my brand

JPMD 6,1	Bennett, R. and Savani, S. (2003), "The rebranding of city places: an international comparative investigation", <i>International Public Management Review</i> , Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 70-87.
0,1	Bingham, L.B., Nabatchi, T. and O'Leary, R. (2005), "The new governance: practices and processes for stakeholder and citizen participation in the work of government", <i>Public</i> Administration Review, Vol. 65 No. 5, pp. 547-58.
26	Braun, E. (2011), "Putting city branding into practice", Journal of Brand Management, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 257-67.
	Burmann, C. and Zeplin, S. (2005), "Building brand commitment: a behavioural approach to internal brand management", <i>Journal of Brand Management</i> , Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 279-300.
	Chambers, E. (2000), "Applied ethnography", in Denzin, N.K. and Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds), Handbook of Qualitative Research, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA, pp. 851-69.
	Collomb, C. and Kalandides, A. (2010), "The 'Be Berlin' campaign: old wine in new bottles or innovative form of participatory place branding?", in Ashworth, G.J. and Kavaratzis, M. (Eds), <i>Towards Effective Place Brand Management: Branding European</i> <i>Cities and Regions</i> , Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 173-90.
	Elliott, R. and Percy, L. (2007), Strategic Brand Management, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
	Freire, J.R. (2009), "Local people: a critical dimension for place brands", Journal of Brand Management, Vol. 16 No. 7, pp. 420-38.
	Garcia, M. (2006), "Citizenship practices and urban governance in European cities", <i>Urban Studies</i> , Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 745-65.
	Gertner, D. (2011), "A (tentative) meta-analysis of the 'place marketing' and 'place branding' literature", <i>Journal of Brand Management</i> , Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 112-31.
	Gregory, A. (2007), "Involving stakeholders in developing corporate brands: the communication dimension", <i>Journal of Marketing Management</i> , Vol. 23 Nos 1/2, pp. 59-73.
	Hankinson, G. (2004), "Relational network brands: towards a conceptual model of place brands", Journal of Vacation Marketing, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 109-21.
	Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M. (2003), "Bringing the corporation into corporate branding", <i>European Journal of Marketing</i> , Vol. 37 Nos 7/8, pp. 1041-64.
	Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M. (2009), "Of bricks and brands: from corporate to enterprise branding", Organisational Dynamics, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 117-30.
	Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M. (2010), "Toward a theory of brand co-creation with implications for brand governance", <i>Journal of Brand Management</i> , Vol. 17 No. 8, pp. 590-604.
	Hospers, GJ. (2010), "Making sense of place: from cold to warm city marketing", <i>Journal of Place Management and Development</i> , Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 182-93.
	Ind, N. and Bjerke, R. (2007), Branding Governance: A Participatory Approach to the Brand Building Process, Wiley, London.
	Insch, A. and Florek, M. (2010), "Place satisfaction of city residents: findings and implications for city branding", in Ashworth, G.J. and Kavaratzis, M. (Eds), <i>Towards Effective Place Brand Management: Branding European Cities and Regions</i> , Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 191-204.
	Katz, D. (1964), "The motivational basis of organizational behaviour", <i>Behavioral Science</i> , Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 131-46.
	Kavaratzis, M. (2004), "From city marketing to city branding: towards a theoretical framework for developing city brands", <i>Journal of Place Branding and Public Diplomacy</i> , Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 58-73.

Downloaded by Göteborgs Universitet At 12:08 15 November 2017 (PT)

Kavaratzis, M. (2012), "From 'necessary evil' to necessity: stakeholders' involvement in place branding", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 7-19.

- Kavaratzis, M. and Ashworth, G.J. (2005), "City branding: an effective assertion of identity or a transitory marketing trick?", *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, Vol. 96 No. 5, pp. 506-14.
- Keller, K.L. (1993), "Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 1-22.
- Keller, K.L. and Lehmann, D.R. (2006), "Brands and branding: research findings and future priorities", *Marketing Science*, Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 740-59.
- Kotler, P., Haider, D.H. and Rein, I. (1993), Marketing Places: Attracting Investment, Industry, and Tourism to Cities, States, and Nations, The Free Press, New York, NY.
- Kotler, P., Asplund, C., Rein, I. and Haider, D.H. (1999), Marketing Places Europe: How to Attract Investments, Industries, Residents and Visitors to European Cities, Communities, Regions and Nations, Pearson Education Ltd, London.
- Kotler, P., Hamlin, M.A., Rein, I. and Haider, D.H. (2002), Marketing Asian Places: Attracting Investment, Industry, and Tourism to Cities, States, and Nations, Wiley, Singapore.
- Kozinets, R.V. (2010), *Netnography: Doing Ethnographic Research Online*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Lucarelli, A. and Berg, P.O. (2011), "City branding: a state-of-the-art review of the research domain", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 9-27.
- McAlexander, J.H., Schouten, J.W. and Koening, H.F. (2002), "Building brand community", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 66 No. 1, pp. 38-54.
- Merrilees, B., Miller, D. and Herington, C. (2009), "Antecedents of residents' city brand attitudes", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 62 No. 3, pp. 362-7.
- Muniz, A.M. and O'Guin, T.C. (2001), "Brand community", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 412-32.
- Olsson, K. and Berglund, E. (2009), "City marketing: the role of the citizens", in Nyseth, T. and Viken, A. (Eds), *Place Reinvention: Northern Perspectives*, Ashgate, Surrey, pp. 127-44.
- Rainisto, S.K. (2003), "Success factors of place marketing: a study of place marketing practices in northern Europe and the United States", PhD thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Institute of Strategy and International Business, Helsinki.
- Riezebos, R. (2007), "City branding: sense or non-sense?", White Paper of the European Institute for Brand Management, European Institute for Brand Management, Rotterdam.
- Schau, H.J., Muniz, A.M. and Arnould, E.J. (2009), "How brand community practices create value", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 73 No. 5, pp. 30-51.
- van den Berg, L. and Braun, E. (1999), "Urban competitiveness, marketing and the need for organising capacity", *Urban Studies*, Vol. 36 Nos 5/6, pp. 987-99.
- Vanolo, A. (2008), "The image of the creative city: some reflections on urban branding in Turin", *Cities*, Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 370-82.
- Virgo, B. and de Chernatony, L. (2006), "Delphic brand visioning to align stakeholder buy-in to the city of Birmingham brand", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 13 No. 6, pp. 379-92.
- Ward, K.G. (2000), "Front rentiers to rantiers: 'active entrepreneurs', 'structural speculators' and the politics of marketing the city", Urban Studies, Vol. 37 No. 7, pp. 1093-107.
- Warnaby, G. (2009a), "Look up! Retailing, historic architecture and city centre distinctiveness", *Cities*, Vol. 26 No. 5, pp. 287-92.

Warnaby, G. (2009b), '	"Towards a	service-domi	nant place	marketing	logic", Marketin	g Theory,
Vol. 9 No. 4, pp.	403-23.					

- Warnaby, G., Bennison, D. and Medway, D. (2011), "Branding a roman frontier in the twenty-first century", in Pike, A. (Ed.), *Brands and Branding Geographies*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 248-63.
- Zenker, S. (2011), "How to catch a city? The concept and measurement of place brands", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 40-52.
- Zenker, S. and Braun, E. (2010), "Branding a city a conceptual approach for place branding and place brand management", *Proceedings of the 39th European Marketing Academy Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark*, available at: www.placebrand.eu/publications (accessed 20 September 2012).
- Zenker, S. and Martin, N. (2011), "Measuring success in place marketing and branding", Journal of Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 32-41.
- Zenker, S. and Seigis, A. (2012), "Respect and the city: resident participation in place marketing", Journal of Place Management and Development, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 20-34.

About the authors

Erik Braun, PhD, is a Senior Researcher and Lecturer in Urban Economics, City Marketing and Urban Management at the Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam. His current research interests concern the application of marketing and branding concepts by cities and regions, place brand management, place brand perceptions and the governance of place marketing. His research is published in books, book chapters and academic journals including *Urban Studies* and *Environment and Planning C*. He can be contacted at: Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Erik Braun is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: braun@ese.eur.nl

Mihalis Kavaratzis, PhD, is Lecturer in Marketing at the School of Management of the University of Leicester, UK. His research interests focus on the application of marketing and branding within place management, including stakeholder participation in place branding. He has published several articles on the theoretical foundations of place marketing and branding. He is the co-editor (with G.J. Ashworth) of *Towards Effective Place Brand Management: Branding European Cities and Regions*.

Sebastian Zenker, PhD, is Postdoctoral Researcher at the Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam. His current research interests are mainly the measurement of place brands and place brand perceptions with the special target group of residents. His work was presented at various international conferences, book chapters, peer-reviewed journals, e.g. the *International Journal of Research in Marketing, Cities*, or the *Journal of Place Management and Development*. He is based at: Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

JPMD 6,1

To purchase reprints of this article please e-mail: **reprints@emeraldinsight.com** Or visit our web site for further details: **www.emeraldinsight.com/reprints**

This article has been cited by:

- 1. Renaud Vuignier. 2017. Place branding & place marketing 1976–2016: A multidisciplinary literature review. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing* 14:4, 447-473. [Crossref]
- 2. Lucía Sáez, Iñaki Periáñez, Iñaki Heras-Saizarbitoria. Measuring urban competitiveness: ranking European large urban zones. Journal of Place Management and Development 0:ja, 00-00. [Abstract] [PDF]
- 3. Viriya Taecharungroj. 2017. City-district divergence grid: a multi-level city brand positioning tool. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* **42**. . [Crossref]
- Emete Toros, Yavuz Gazibey. 2017. Priorities of the citizens in city brand development: comparison of two cities (Nicosia and Kyrenia) by using analytic hierarchy process (AHP) approach. *Quality & Quantity* 52. [Crossref]
- 5. Agostino Vollero, Francesca Conte, Gianmaria Bottoni, Alfonso Siano. 2017. The influence of community factors on the engagement of residents in place promotion: Empirical evidence from an Italian heritage site. *International Journal of Tourism Research* **32**. [Crossref]
- 6. Julia Affolderbach, Christian Schulz. 2017. Positioning Vancouver through urban sustainability strategies? The Greenest City 2020 Action Plan. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **164**, 676-685. [Crossref]
- Nikos Ntounis, Evgenia Kanellopoulou. 2017. Normalising jurisdictional heterotopias through place branding: The cases of Christiania and Metelkova. *Environment and Planning A* 49:10, 2223-2240. [Crossref]
- Rodrigo B. Castilhos, Pierre-Yann Dolbec. 2017. Conceptualizing spatial types. *Marketing Theory* 28, 147059311773245. [Crossref]
- Emma Winter, Helen Thompson-Whiteside. 2017. Location, location, location: does place provide the opportunity for differentiation for universities?. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education* 1-18. [Crossref]
- Jasper Eshuis, Erik Braun, Erik Hans Klijn, Sebastian Zenker. 2017. The differential effect of various stakeholder groups in place marketing. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space* 15, 239965441772633. [Crossref]
- ZenkerSebastian, Sebastian Zenker, BraunErik, Erik Braun. 2017. Questioning a "one size fits all" city brand. Journal of Place Management and Development 10:3, 270-287. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- CassingerCecilia, Cecilia Cassinger, EksellJörgen, Jörgen Eksell. 2017. The magic of place branding: regional brand identity in transition. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 10:3, 202-212. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 13. Andrea Insch, Trudie Walters. 2017. Challenging assumptions about residents' engagement with place branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 40. . [Crossref]
- 14. Susanne Fredholm, Krister Olsson. 2017. Managing the image of the place and the past: contemporary views on place branding and heritage management. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 27. [Crossref]
- 15. Erik Braun, Jasper Eshuis, Erik-Hans Klijn, Sebastian Zenker. 2017. Improving place reputation: Do an open place brand process and an identity-image match pay off?. *Cities*. [Crossref]
- 16. Chung-Shing Chan, Lawal M. Marafa. 2017. How a green city brand determines the willingness to stay in a city: the case of Hong Kong. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* 34:6, 719-731. [Crossref]
- 17. Emeline Martin, Sonia Capelli. 2017. Region brand legitimacy: towards a participatory approach involving residents of a place. *Public Management Review* **19**:6, 820-844. [Crossref]

- Christine Vallaster, Sylvia von Wallpach, Sebastian Zenker. 2017. The interplay between urban policies and grassroots city brand co-creation and co-destruction during the refugee crisis: Insights from the city brand Munich (Germany). *Cities*. [Crossref]
- 19. Inès Hassen, Massimo Giovanardi. 2017. The difference of 'being diverse': City branding and multiculturalism in the 'Leicester Model'. *Cities*. [Crossref]
- LichrouMaria, Maria Lichrou, O'MalleyLisa, Lisa O'Malley, PattersonMaurice, Maurice Patterson. 2017. Making Santorini: reflecting on the past, imagining the future. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 10:2, 106-120. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- Jung Timothy Hyungsoo, Timothy Hyungsoo Jung, tom DieckM. Claudia, M. Claudia tom Dieck. 2017. Augmented reality, virtual reality and 3D printing for the co-creation of value for the visitor experience at cultural heritage places. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 10:2, 140-151. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 22. Keith Dinnie. 2017. Contingent self-definition and amorphous regions. *Marketing Theory* 4, 147059311770846. [Crossref]
- Ivo de Noronha, J. Andres Coca-Stefaniak, Alastair M. Morrison. 2017. Confused branding? An exploratory study of place branding practices among place management professionals. *Cities* 66, 91-98. [Crossref]
- 24. Raquel Goulart Sztejnberg, Massimo Giovanardi. 2017. The ambiguity of place branding consultancy: working with stakeholders in Rio de Janeiro. *Journal of Marketing Management* **2**, 1-25. [Crossref]
- 25. Yu-Min Joo, Bokyong Seo. 2017. Transformative city branding for policy change: The case of Seoul's participatory branding. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space* 114, 239965441770752. [Crossref]
- 26. TurnerPaul, Paul Turner. 2017. Implementing integrated marketing communications (IMC) through major event ambassadors. *European Journal of Marketing* 51:3, 605-626. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- Jelmer Hendrik Gerard Jeuring, Tialda Haartsen. 2017. Destination Branding by Residents: The Role of Perceived Responsibility in Positive and Negative Word-of-Mouth. *Tourism Planning & Development* 14:2, 240-259. [Crossref]
- 28. Henrik Gert Larsen. 2017. The 'mental topography' of the Shanghai city brand: A netnographic approach to formulating city brand positioning strategies. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*. [Crossref]
- 29. ZuccoFabrícia Durieux, Fabrícia Durieux Zucco, ReisClóvis, Clóvis Reis, AnjosSara Joana Gadotti dos, Sara Joana Gadotti dos Anjos, EfftingSamara Jane, Samara Jane Effting, PereiraMelise de Lima, Melise de Lima Pereira. 2017. Attributes of the Blumenau (Brazil) brand from the residents' perspective, and its influence on the decision to stay in the destination. *International Journal of Tourism Cities* 3:1, 43-55. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 30. de San Eugenio VelaJordi, Jordi de San Eugenio Vela, NoguéJoan, Joan Nogué, GoversRobert, Robert Govers. 2017. Visual landscape as a key element of place branding. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 10:1, 23-44. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- Sebastian Zenker, Erik Braun, Sibylle Petersen. 2017. Branding the destination versus the place: The effects of brand complexity and identification for residents and visitors. *Tourism Management* 58, 15-27. [Crossref]
- 32. Mihalis Kavaratzis. The Participatory Place Branding Process for Tourism: Linking Visitors and Residents Through the City Brand 93-107. [Crossref]
- 33. Efe Sevin. Globetrotters and Brands: Cities in an Emerging Communicative Space 109-122. [Crossref]

- Chung-Shing Chan, Lawal M. Marafa. 2016. Perceptual content analysis for city image: a case study of Hong Kong. Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research 21:12, 1285-1299. [Crossref]
- 35. Kaveh Peighambari, Setayesh Sattari, Tim Foster, Åsa Wallström. 2016. Two tales of one city: Image versus identity. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* **12**:4, 314-328. [Crossref]
- Maria J. Cerda-Bertomeu, Francisco J. Sarabia-Sanchez. 2016. Stakeholders' perceptions of place branding and the role of the public sector: An exploratory analysis. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 12:4, 299-313. [Crossref]
- Magnus Hultman, Abena A. Yeboah-Banin, Liam Formaniuk. 2016. Demand- and supply-side perspectives of city branding: A qualitative investigation. *Journal of Business Research* 69:11, 5153-5157. [Crossref]
- ChanChung-Shing, Chung-Shing Chan, PetersMike, Mike Peters, MarafaLawal M., Lawal M. Marafa. 2016. An assessment of place brand potential: familiarity, favourability and uniqueness. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 9:3, 269-288. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- TaecharungrojViriya, Viriya Taecharungroj. 2016. City ambassadorship and citizenship behaviours. Journal of Place Management and Development 9:3, 331-350. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 40. MedwayDominic, Dominic Medway, ParkerCathy, Cathy Parker. 2016. Editorial. Journal of Place Management and Development 9:3, 242-246. [Citation] [Full Text] [PDF]
- ChanChung-Shing, Chung-Shing Chan, MarafaLawal M., Lawal M. Marafa. 2016. The green branding of Hong Kong: visitors' and residents' perceptions. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 9:3, 289-312. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 42. Castillo-VillarFernando Rey, Fernando Rey Castillo-Villar. 2016. Urban icons and city branding development. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 9:3, 255-268. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 43. BellFraser, Fraser Bell. 2016. Looking beyond place branding: the emergence of place reputation. *Journal of Place Management and Development* **9**:3, 247-254. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 44. Alok Acharya, Zillur Rahman. 2016. Place branding research: a thematic review and future research agenda. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing* 13:3, 289-317. [Crossref]
- 45. Filareti Kotsi, Melodena Stephens Balakrishnan, Ian Michael, Thomas Zoëga Ramsøy. 2016. Place branding: Aligning multiple stakeholder perception of visual and auditory communication elements. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*. [Crossref]
- 46. Miroslav Sifta. 2016. Graphic symbols and local identity: the case of use and perception of municipal emblems in the Liberec Region (Czechia). *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography* 116:2, 147-158. [Crossref]
- Senay Oguztimur, Ulun Akturan. 2016. Synthesis of City Branding Literature (1988-2014) as a Research Domain. International Journal of Tourism Research 18:4, 357-372. [Crossref]
- Jelmer H.G. Jeuring. 2016. Discursive contradictions in regional tourism marketing strategies: The case of Fryslân, The Netherlands. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management* 5:2, 65-75. [Crossref]
- Victoria Henshaw, Dominic Medway, Gary Warnaby, Chris Perkins. 2016. Marketing the 'city of smells'. Marketing Theory 16:2, 153-170. [Crossref]
- Amelia Green, Debra Grace, Helen Perkins. 2016. City branding research and practice: An integrative review. *Journal of Brand Management* 23:3, 252-272. [Crossref]

- 51. Young-joo Ahn, Sunghyup Sean Hyun, Insin Kim. 2016. City Residents' Perception of MICE City Brand Orientation and Their Brand Citizenship Behavior: A Case Study of Busan, South Korea. Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research 21:3, 328-353. [Crossref]
- 52. Romain Roult, Jean-Marc Adjizian, Denis Auger. 2016. Tourism conversion and place branding: the case of the Olympic Park in Montreal. *International Journal of Tourism Cities* **2**:1, 77-93. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 53. Michael Alexander Seidel. Strategische Planung 159-221. [Crossref]
- 54. Valery Gordin, Julia Trabskaya. St. Petersburg as a Tourist Destination 87-108. [Crossref]
- 55. Kirill Lvovich Rozhkov, Natalya Il'inichna Skriabina. 2015. How to capture the idea of a place? The case of five Moscow districts. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 8:3, 206-232. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- Andrea Insch, Menique Stuart. 2015. Understanding resident city brand disengagement. Journal of Place Management and Development 8:3, 172-186. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 57. Alberto Vanolo. 2015. The image of the creative city, eight years later: Turin, urban branding and the economic crisis taboo. *Cities* 46, 1-7. [Crossref]
- Eva Maria Jernsand, Helena Kraff. 2015. Participatory place branding through design: The case of Dunga beach in Kisumu, Kenya. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 11:3, 226-242. [Crossref]
- 59. Janne Lindstedt. 2015. A deliberately emergent strategy a key to successful city branding. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 8:2, 90-102. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 60. Kirill Lvovich Rozhkov, Natalya Il'inichna Skriabina. 2015. Places, users, and place uses: a theoretical approach to place market analysis. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 8:2, 103-122. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 61. Cathy Parker, Stuart Roper, Dominic Medway. 2015. Back to basics in the marketing of place: the impact of litter upon place attitudes. *Journal of Marketing Management* **31**:9-10, 1090-1112. [Crossref]
- 62. Mihalis Kavaratzis, Ares Kalandides. 2015. Rethinking the place brand: the interactive formation of place brands and the role of participatory place branding. *Environment and Planning A* **47**:6, 1368-1382. [Crossref]
- 63. Ulla Hakala, Paula Sjöblom, Satu-Paivi Kantola. 2015. Toponyms as carriers of heritage: implications for place branding. *Journal of Product & Brand Management* 24:3, 263-275. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 64. Ole Have Jørgensen. 2015. Developing a city brand balance sheet Using the case of Horsens, Denmark. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 11:2, 148-160. [Crossref]
- 65. Dominic Medway, Kathryn Swanson, Lisa Delpy Neirotti, Cecilia Pasquinelli, Sebastian Zenker. 2015. Place branding: are we wasting our time? Report of an AMA special session. *Journal of Place Management and Development* 8:1, 63-68. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 66. Chung Shing Chan, Mike Peters, Lawal M. Marafa. 2015. Public parks in city branding: Perceptions of visitors vis-à-vis residents in Hong Kong. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 14:4, 1157-1165. [Crossref]
- 67. Mihalis Kavaratzis, Greg Ashworth. 2015. Hijacking culture: the disconnection between place culture and place brands. *Town Planning Review* 86:2, 155-176. [Crossref]
- Erik Braun, Jasper Eshuis, Erik-Hans Klijn. 2014. The effectiveness of place brand communication. *Cities* 41, 64-70. [Crossref]
- 69. Ivan De Noni, Luigi Orsi, Luca Zanderighi. 2014. Attributes of Milan influencing city brand attractiveness. Journal of Destination Marketing & Management 3:4, 218-226. [Crossref]

- 70. Bill Merrilees, Dale Miller, Wei Shao, Carmel Herington. 2014. Linking city branding to social inclusiveness: A socioeconomic perspective. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 10:4, 267-278. [Crossref]
- 71. Sebastian Zenker, Carsten Erfgen. 2014. Let them do the work: a participatory place branding approach. *Journal of Place Management and Development* **7**:3, 225-234. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 72. Maria Lichrou, Lisa O'Malley, Maurice Patterson. 2014. On the marketing implications of place narratives. *Journal of Marketing Management* **30**:9-10, 832-856. [Crossref]
- 73. Irina Shafranskaya, Dmitriy Potapov. 2014. An empirical study of consumer-based city brand equity from signalling theory perspective. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* **10**:2, 117-131. [Crossref]
- 74. Sebastian Zenker, Sibylle Petersen. 2014. An Integrative Theoretical Model for Improving Resident-City Identification. *Environment and Planning A* **46**:3, 715-729. [Crossref]
- 75. Sebastian Zenker, Tobias Gollan, Niels Van Quaquebeke. 2014. Using Polynomial Regression Analysis and Response Surface Methodology to Make a Stronger Case for Value Congruence in Place Marketing. *Psychology & Marketing* 31:3, 184-202. [Crossref]
- 76. Fatimazohra El aouni, Rosalía Cascón-Pereira, Ana Beatriz Hernández-Lara. 2014. The Morocco brand from the Moroccan emigrants' perspective. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy* 10:1, 55-69. [Crossref]
- 77. Malla Paajanen. Accessibility of Cities and Regions in Supranational Branding: The Case of Rail Baltic 197-220. [Crossref]
- 78. Ebru Uzunoglu. Using Social Media for Participatory City Branding: 1459-1481. [Crossref]
- 79. Ebru Uzunoglu. Using Social Media for Participatory City Branding: 94-116. [Crossref]