Emerald Insight

Journal of Services Marketing

E-complaining: a content analysis of an Internet complaint forum
L. Jean Harrison-Walker

Article information:

For Authors

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

E-complaining: a content analysis of an Internet complaint forum

L. Jean Harrison-Walker
Assistant Professor of Marketing, The University of Houston-Clear Lake, Houston, Texas, USA

Keywords *Internet, Marketing, Customer satisfaction*

Abstract *The emergence of the Internet and its communication capabilities has given rise to a number of complaint sites that function as central forums for consumers to share their bad experiences with other consumers. Companies are reacting by adopting anti-domain sites in an attempt to prevent the creation of such complaint forums. Data from one complaint forum are analyzed to identify the nature of the complaints, whether the complaints were initially voiced to contact personnel, what other attempts were made to resolve the problem, whether the Internet consumer complaint forum was the initial method used for complaining, the responsiveness of the company to non-Internet complaints as well as Internet complaints, and the demographics of complainers using the Internet complaint forum. The suggestion is made that companies should embrace consumer complaints, and compete with the independent complaint forums (rather than try to block them) on the basis of ease of the complaint process and the likelihood of response. Recommendations are offered that are specific to Internet use and benefits to the company are described.*

Introduction

The emergence of the Internet and its communication capabilities has given rise to a number of complaint sites that function as central forums for consumers to share their bad experiences with other consumers. Many sites can be found through the Yahoo! search engine. Some of the more active sites include those set up against Disney, United Airlines, MCI WorldCom, and First USA.

Sites established by individuals not affiliated with the target company

The complaint sites are established by individuals (or groups) who are not affiliated with the target company. The complaint site owner for First USA explains the typical motive for establishing the site:

> I'm the founder of this Web site and like everyone else, I got scammed by First USA. Of course, at the time I thought it was just me. After battling First USA and getting nowhere, I decided to throw up a quick Web page. I was very surprised when I got bombarded with e-mails from people saying the same thing happened to them. Since then, this site has grown tremendously [http:\members.tripod.com/FUSA1/contents.htm].

The owner of the MCI WorldCom complaint site goes on to explain:

> Sure, one easy way to resolve a difference with MCI WorldCom would be not to use their services. And, I realize there is a good chance that although you are visiting this Web site, you may have already stopped using their service. But what about those who are considering using MCI WorldCom services that may not know what they are getting themselves into?

Creating a public forum on the Internet, which can be accessed by a global audience, is a very effective tool for word-of-mouth advertising. Consumer

opinion forums, like this one, are powerful weapons in combat against corporations otherwise untouchable [http:\www.consumeropinions.org/mci/main.html].

Complaint sites often found first

The unfortunate side of consumer complaint sites is that consumers trying to locate information on a particular company will often find the complaint sites first (Marlatt, 1998). Given the potential damage that consumer complaint sites can do to the bottom line, many companies, such as Volvo and Chase Manhattan, are attempting to defend themselves by setting up anti-domains. For example, Volvo owns the anti-domain site, volvosucks.com (Marlatt, 1998). Chase Manhattan was particularly protective, registering four site names: chasesucks.com, ihatechase.com, chasestinks.com, and chaseblows.com (Marlatt, 1998). Vail Resorts owns at least six such sites (Marlatt, 1998). The question for these companies is, "how many name variations does it take to protect the company?"

Newer firms have the unique opportunity to block consumer complaint sites before their name is known. For example, discount airline site Priceline registered Priceline-sucks.com three days before the company's actual site launched (Marlatt, 1998). In all, at least two dozen companies – or their advertising or PR firms – have registered anti-domains (Marlatt, 1998).

Retailers unaware of complaint forums may be unknowingly losing business

Those firms that adopt such a defensive posture are attempting to block the consumer's ability to share their negative experiences with others. The damage of dissatisfaction has been well documented. At the very least it leads to negative word-of-mouth regarding the inability of the service provider to meet consumer needs, fewer repeat purchases by the dissatisfied consumer, and fewer initial purchases by consumers influenced by hearing the negative comments (Dolinsky, 1994; Halstead *et al.*, 1993). Retailers and service providers who are unaware of these consumer complaint forums may unknowingly be losing business because of negative comments made by dissatisfied customers (see Blodgett *et al.*, 1995).

The purpose of this paper is to:

(1) expand on the theoretical work presented by Harrison-Walker and Erdem (2000);

(2) empirically investigate the content posted to an Internet complaint forum; and

(3) set forth recommendations for marketing managers.

This paper is presented in three parts. First, the literature regarding consumer complaints and the benefits of complaint management are discussed. Next, the methodology used in the current research and the research findings are reported. Finally, managerial implications and recommendations are presented.

Consumer complaints and the benefits of complaint management

Cheaper to keep existing customers satisfied

As recently as 1995, Blodgett *et al.* suggested that retailers and other service providers could not remedy consumer complaints unless the customer first sought redress. Similarly, Tax *et al.* (1998) "focus on complaints lodged directly with the firm because they are the only responses that provide the organization with an opportunity to recover effectively from service failure." This is no longer the case. Retailers and service providers who monitor the complaint forums on the Internet are also in a position to take remedial action. The bottom-line reason for attending to consumer complaints, instead of trying to block them is simply because it is cheaper in the long run to keep

existing customers satisfied than to spend the marketing dollars necessary to find new ones (Fornell and Wernerfelt, 1987). According to Desatnick (1988), it costs five times as much to attract a new customer as it does to retain a current customer. Consumer complaints provide the added benefits of alerting the distribution channel that a problem with a product or service exists, allowing the business to take remedial action, and providing an opportunity to take action quickly – before further damage is done (Broadbridge and Marshall, 1995; Halstead *et al.*, 1993).

Some researchers go as far as to suggest that there are times when dissatisfied customers are actually more beneficial to a company than satisfied customers. McCollough and Bharadwaj (1992) refer to this situation as the "paradox of service recovery." More specifically, in some situations, effective recovery leads to a customer rating an encounter more favorably than if no problem had occurred in the first place.

Customers should be encouraged to seek redress

In any event, retailers and service providers should not simply monitor consumer complaints. They should actually encourage customers who are dissatisfied to seek redress (i.e. ask for a refund, exchange, or repair) so that they will then have a chance to remedy those problems and retain those customers' businesses (Blodgett *et al.*, 1995). If businesses can facilitate the expression of complaints, 94 percent of customers will air their issues instead of just 4 percent (Singh and Wilkes, 1996) and three-quarters of the dissatisfied customers can be won back (Scarborough and Zimmerer, 1991).

Typology of complaining behaviors
When a consumer experiences a problem with a product or service, there are three options available under consumer complaining behavior to resolve it. First, consumers can take private action by disassociating themselves with the product or company, and/or spreading negative word-of-mouth. Second, consumers can take direct action by lodging a complaint directly with the company. Finally, consumers can take indirect public action by complaining to a third party (Day and Landon, 1977; Singh, 1988).

Customer dissatisfaction underestimated

Private action. Consumers can take private action by switching brands, stores, or suppliers; boycotting the product or service; or telling family or friends about their bad experiences (Cornwell *et al.*, 1991; Day *et al.*, 1981; TARP, 1979). As a result, both retailers and manufacturers typically grossly underestimate both the frequency of customer dissatisfaction and the extent of the detrimental effects it triggers (Day *et al.*, 1981). Disassociation directly impacts sales and profitability, requiring the company to then make greater marketing expenditures to solicit new customers (Nader, 1980). However, negative word-of-mouth works directly counter to such attempts. The tendency of dissatisfied customers to engage in negative word-of-mouth often results in the communication of derogatory information about the seller to a dozen or more friends and acquaintances (TARP, 1981). Consumers who have heard about negative experiences are more likely to be wary about certain products/services the next time they evaluate purchase alternatives (Broadbridge and Marshall, 1995).

Although private complaining strategies appear to be potentially effective in "punishing" the company for its failures, complaining consumers are still left with unresolved issues. According to Carmel (1990), consumers who employ private strategies tend to be less satisfied with the outcome than those who employ a formal strategy by complaining to those directly responsible for the problem.

Small percentage of dissatisfied customers communicate with the store

Direct complaining. Consumers can take direct action by seeking redress directly from the retailer or manufacturer. However, only a small percentage of dissatisfied customers ever communicate with the store (Day *et al.*, 1981). In fact, as many as 70 percent of consumers who experience product or service problems do not lodge complaints (TARP, 1986). Dissatisfied consumers do not complain because they feel that complaining is not worth their time, or will not result in a favorable outcome, or they simply do not know where and how to complain (Bearden and Teel, 1983; Day *et al.*, 1981; Richins, 1979; TARP, 1986).

For a consumer, the process involved in making a complaint is time-consuming and inconvenient (Mitchell, 1993). Most manufacturers demand proof of purchase or a sample of the defective product to ''increase the perceived legitimacy of the request and to protect themselves from unscrupulous consumers'' (Krapfel, 1988). Tax *et al.* (1998) found many cases in which the inconvenience of the complaint process made the compensation less appreciated than if it had come immediately. Making the process difficult will undoubtedly affect the consumer's perceptions of the organization's responsiveness (Mitchell, 1993) and encourage private complaining behaviors.

Some industries appear to be more likely to hear complaints than others. For example, Singh (1990a) found that only 17.2 percent of dissatisfied health care consumers complained to their physicians. In contrast, the incidence for grocery shopping and auto repair service problems was 75.8 and 84.5 percent, respectively (Singh, 1990a).

Bolfing (1989) reports that roughly 20 percent of dissatisfied consumers complained to stores or suppliers, while as many as 44 percent did so to service providers. When it comes to complaints against service providers, most complaints are lodged concurrent with the service failure, 65 percent are lodged with frontline employees, and complaints typically concern important problems that place customers in heightened states of frustration and anger (Tax *et al.*, 1998).

Individual businesses that are perceived to be in a position to prevent the problem from occurring are more likely to hear from dissatisfied customers than those who are not. That is, the more consumers blame the seller, believe that the dissatisfying event is likely to happen again, and perceive that the dissatisfaction could have been avoided, the stronger the inclination to engage in consumer complaining responses (Folkes, 1984; Krishnan and Valle, 1979).

Typical complainers hold professional job characteristics

Individual consumer differences exist as well. Complainers have typically been found to be younger (25-34), well educated with a higher than average income, and hold professional job characteristics (Broadbridge and Marshall, 1995; Morganowsky and Buckley, 1987; Singh, 1990b; Warland *et al.*, 1975). They have also been found to be more assertive and self-confident relative to non-complainers (Richins, 1983b; Singh, 1990b).

Private complaining and direct complaining are not mutually exclusive behaviors. In fact, most consumers engage in multiple complaint responses such as complaining to the retailer as well as talking to friends and relatives about the bad experience (Broadbridge and Marshall, 1995; Day, 1984; Richins, 1983a). Broadbridge and Marshall (1995) find that when problems are poorly resolved, private complaining (particularly in the form of word-of-mouth) is triggered.

Indirect public action. Consumers can take indirect action by complaining to a third party. Such complaints involve, for example, complaining to the media, registering the complaint with a consumer association, or bringing legal action (Day and Landon, 1977; Singh, 1988). Only a small percentage of complaints are lodged with third parties (Schouten and Van Raaij, 1990; Day and Landon, 1977; Diener, 1975). The use of these sources depends on the type of the problem and the type of product; consumer perceptions of the expense that may be associated with pursuing third party action (Broadbridge and Marshall, 1995); consumer awareness of specific redress schemes – which has been found to be low (Barnes and Kelloway, 1980; Office of Fair Trading, 1991) – and perceptions of the third party. For example, many outlets for third party complaints, such as the Better Business Bureau, require that a customer first file his/her complaint with the provider before filing with the third party.

Benefits of complaint management
Companies have much to gain by handling complaints rather than avoiding them. Specifically, effective complaint management can:

(1) have a dramatic impact on customer retention rates;

(2) deflect the spread of damaging word-of-mouth;

(3) promote more positive word-of-mouth;

(4) increase customer perception of quality;

(5) lead to cross-selling opportunities to satisfied complainants;

(6) improve bottom-line performance;

(7) improve marketing intelligence;

(8) promote a positive company image; and

(9) reduce the likelihood of legal proceedings

(Fornell and Wernerfelt, 1987; Halstead *et al.*, 1993; Gilly and Gelb, 1982; Kelley *et al.*, 1993; McCollough and Bharadwaj, 1992; Mitchell, 1993; Mitchell and Critchlow, 1993; Reichheld, 1993; TARP, 1979, 1981). Interestingly, Adamson (1991) presents data drawn from a company-specific study that show that loyalty can be higher among satisfied complainants than among those who had no problem at all.

Research methodology and results
The purpose of the current research is to analyze the content of complaints posted to non-commercial, consumer complaint forums on the Internet and to set forth recommendations for marketing managers. Specifically, data that address the following research questions (Harrison-Walker and Erdem, 2000) are sought:

(1) What is the nature of the complaints most commonly voiced on the Internet complaint forum?

(2) Did the consumer voice a complaint to the contact personnel at the time the problem was experienced?

(3) What other attempts, if any, has the consumer made to resolve the problem?

(4) Is the consumer using the Internet complaint forum to voice his/her initial complaint?

Loyalty can be higher among satisfied complainants

Recommendations for marketing managers

(5) How responsive was the company to non-Internet methods of complaining?

(6) Is the company responding to consumers who voice complaints on the Internet complaint forum?

(7) What are the demographics of complainers using the Internet complaint forum?

Relatively long history of complaints

The sample was drawn from the service industry. As noted by Dolinsky (1994), complaints may be of greatest value to organizations that primarily provide services, since services are inherently heterogeneous or variable. Such variation is likely to give rise to more perceived problems, and therefore more customer complaints (Dolinsky, 1994). Best and Andreasen (1977) agree, suggesting that the selection of services rather than physical goods is supported simply because services entail greater dissatisfaction than do goods. For the purposes of the current research, the data are drawn from the "Untied" Web site, which functions as a complaint forum against United Airlines. This complaint site was selected because the complaint forum has a relatively long history of complaints and because it is well structured (in terms of the type of data collected) to address the questions of interest. Six months of data (or a total of 551 individual complaints) were analyzed.

The nature of the complaints

Rudeness, lying, dishonesty, incompetence and misinformation

The top reasons for customer complaints were employee rudeness, other reasons (which primarily related to canceled or delayed flights, but also to lying and dishonesty), employee incompetence, receiving misinformation from employees, and baggage handling (see Table I). While canceled or delayed flights may sometimes be unavoidable, each of the other reasons cited is likely to be perceived as controllable, prompting consumers to engage in negative word-of-mouth behavior (Blodgett *et al.*, 1995). The Internet complaint forum may be perceived as a vehicle for spreading negative word-of-mouth.

Further, many complainants expressed dissatisfaction with more than one aspect of the service. For example, there seemed to be high intercorrelations among employee rudeness, employee incompetence, and misinformation.

		Percentage
Unaccompanied minor	13	2.4
Safety	22	4.0
Premium class disservice	25	4.5
Special needs	28	5.1
In-flight meals	36	6.5
Mileage plus problems	51	9.3
In-flight seating	59	10.7
Refund problem	61	11.1
Baggage	137	24.9
Misinformation	175	31.8
Employee incompetence	182	33.0
Employee rudeness	240	43.6
Other	187	33.9

Note: Average number of complaints per person: 2.21

Table I. Nature of the complaint

Employee's inability to resolve or redress the problem

Complaints to contact personnel
In the current study, 288 (52.3 percent) consumers said that they had lodged complaints with contact personnel at the airport (see Table II). These findings are consistent with those reported by Tax *et al.* (1998) who found that most complaints against service providers are lodged concurrent with the service failure and 65 percent are lodged with frontline employees. The fact that 551 consumers in six months went on to file a complaint with the Internet complaint forum suggests that these consumers were dissatisfied with the employee's ability to resolve or redress the problem. (The total number of consumers complaining to contact personnel, necessary to compute the percentage of consumers satisfied by the employee, is not determinable from the data.)

Other attempts to resolve the problem
While most customers voiced their complaint to the service contact personnel, many also complained directly to the company by telephone, letter, and/or e-mail (see Table II). Relatively few contacted the Department of Transportation or the media. Many consumers noted that they had had no response from the company; some may be waiting for a response from the airlines before proceeding to contact third parties.

Complaint forum may be easier for consumers to identify

Complaints to Internet complaint forum only
Interestingly, a number of consumers admitted that the Internet complaint forum was their first attempt to lodge a formal complaint (see Table II). However, this particular Web site allows the consumer to have a copy of the complaint forwarded to United Airlines general e-mail, the director of customer relations for United, and/or the chairman and chief executive office of United. Consumers may be lodging complaints with the company as they address the complaint forum. The complaint forum may simply be easier for consumers to identify and access than the company.

Company responses to non-Internet complaints
Many customers tried to lodge complaints immediately with contact personnel or by contacting the company using the telephone, writing letters or sending e-mail. Of the 447 customers lodging complaints in any manner other than the complaint forum, only 8.5 percent were responded to by the company (see Table III). Considering that some of the Internet forum complaints were copied to the company directly by e-mail, the number responded to could be as low as 6.9 percent.

Interestingly, Gilly *et al.* (1981) find that complaints about the attitudes of personnel (note that the primary complaint in the current study was employee rudeness) tend to get resolved internally by management, but

		Percentage
Complaints to contact personnel	288	52.3
Complain by telephone	175	31.8
Complain by letter	143	26.0
Complain by e-mail	130	23.6
Contacted US Department of Transportation	24	4.4
Contacted the media or a consumer rights watchdog	14	2.5
United Complaint Forum only	104	18.9
Average number of complaint approaches per person	878	1.6

Table II. Attempts to resolve the problem

		Percentage
Travel vouchers	14	36.8
Letter	11	28.9
E-mail	5	13.2
Refund or reimbursement	4	10.5
Waived a fee	3	7.9
Mileage plus miles	1	2.6
Total	38	100
Percentage of complaints receiving responses: 8.5 percent		

Table III. Company responses to non-Internet complaints

without a follow-up response to the complainant. Complaints about service performance (such as baggage mishandling) tend to be resolved by non-managers rather quickly by responding to the complainant, but without a recommendation for corrective action to the company (Gilly *et al.*, 1981).

The most common form of response was providing the customer with travel vouchers to use as partial payment on future travel. As noted by Mitchell (1993), product replacement may be more effective than refunds since it gives the consumer an opportunity to try a product that is representative of the company's normal quality, conveys a more personal approach that may give the complainant a feeling of being special, and may restore the consumer's confidence in the company (Mitchell, 1993). However, many customers noted that they were so disturbed by the problems they encountered, they had no intention of using the vouchers. Thus, consumers appear to believe that the problems at United are stable and recurring. Blodgett *et al.* (1995) suggest that complainants who perceive the problem to be stable are more likely to engage in negative word-of-mouth behavior.

Letters considered most efficient and cost-effective

Letters to customers were the second most common response. As a rule, letters are considered to be the most efficient and cost-effective way to reply (Mitchell, 1993). Interestingly, only 11 of the 551 customers said that they had received a letter; another five had received an e-mail response.

Company responses to complaints on Internet forum
According to the Untied Web site, only seven individuals who filed their complaint with the complaint forum have been responded to. One consumer was responded to in each of five months for which data were collected, while two customers were responded to in one month. This suggests that United is probably aware of the Web site and may be monitoring the Web site on a monthly basis. It would be interesting to learn whether other companies are aware of the complaint forums set up against them and to what extent corporate resources are directed at monitoring these complaints. When companies do respond to complaints lodged on the complaint forum, are other complaining consumers aware that the company is in fact responding? Will the activity on the complaint forum increase over time as a result of finding the complaint forum to be an effective means of getting the company's attention? Or, will the activity decrease over time as a result of customers acknowledging that the company is responsive after all and feeling more confident in contacting the company directly?

Demographics of Internet forum complainers
Little demographic information was available from the Untied Web site. Using first names as an indication, it appears that most of the Web site

complainers are male (see Table IV). Interestingly, more than 81 percent of the complainers were willing to disclose their identity, lending some degree of credibility to the claims. However, the fact that some complainers preferred to remain anonymous should not be interpreted as lacking credibility. There could be a number of reasons for masking an identity.

Managerial implications and recommendations
The benefits of customer complaints were outlined earlier in this paper. General implications for complaint handling are well documented in the literature (see Blodgett *et al.*, 1995; Gilly *et al.*, 1981; Goodwin and Ross, 1990, 1992; Horovitz, 1987; Mitchell, 1993; Tax *et al.*, 1998; Vanderleest and Borna, 1988). Therefore, the focus of the current article is on Internet-specific implications and recommendations.

Companies should regularly monitor the complaint forums

The most immediate marketing implication from the current research is in response to the question posed earlier – how many anti-domain sites should a company purchase? The answer is none. Consumer complaint forums are borne from the frustrations shared by a number of dissatisfied customers that feel they have no better way to voice their opinions and make some sort of an impact. Spending corporate resources on attempts to prevent complaint forums is virtually impossible since consumers with sufficient motivation will find a domain name and it will turn up in the various search engines. Rather, the company should regularly monitor the complaint forums and promptly respond to complaints. If the company fails to monitor the complaint forum, negative word-of-mouth flourishes, while a number of consumer voices go unheard. As the findings from the current study point out, many consumers lodge first-time complaints on the forums, rather than directly with the company.

The second important implication from the current study is that companies should facilitate complaining behavior directly to the company, and be willing to make adjustments to minimize those problem areas perceived by consumers as stable and uncontrollable. Complaint management may be facilitated through:

(1) the adoption of call centers staffed by specialists trained to resolve individual customer problems; and

(2) the efficient design of a company Web site (Harrison-Walker and Erdem, 2000).

Call centers can take a number of forms. Each form of call center can be identified on (or hyper-linked to) the company's Web site.

Operators can request clarification

E-mail and toll free telephone numbers have been the traditional mechanisms for contacting a business. The advantages of telephone contact over e-mail are that operators can request clarification as needed from the customer

		Percentage
Male	248	45.0
Female	135	24.5
Anonymous	103	18.7
Could not be determined	59	10.7
Couples	6	1.1
Total		100.0

Table IV. Demographics of Internet forum complainers

before formulating a response (Salerno, 1988) and respond more quickly, potentially leading to greater customer satisfaction (Martin, 1994). Existing research suggests that corporate response using e-mail and toll-free telephone numbers has been less then desirable. A mystery shopping team found that 56 percent of companies did not respond to e-mail correspondence within 48 hours and 26 percent did not respond at all (Morganowsky and Buckley, 2000). A total of 36 percent had busy toll-free telephone numbers or failed to provide assistance by phone (Morganowsky and Buckley, 2000). Round-the-clock response by the company is optimal. The quicker the company can respond to the individual complaint, the more quickly a customer's anger and frustration can be diffused.

A third mechanism for customer service is through the use of chat lines. Chat lines allow the customer to interact one-on-one in real time with a service representative. As users' equipment becomes more technologically sophisticated, Internet telephony may become an increasingly viable option for providing real-time customer service. (Internet telephony requires the installation of a small Web-phone, a microphone, and speakers.) The real-time response mechanisms (toll-free telephone numbers, chat lines, and Internet telephony) appear to have an advantage, even when an issue can not be remedied. As one study found, a complainer who is denied a request in a phone conversation is 30 percent more likely to continue buying the product than someone who receives similar information in the mail (Sellers, 1988).

Call center specialists should be trained to apologize

Regardless of the response mechanism(s) used, call center specialists should be trained to apologize and to allow customers to communicate feelings freely, as well as to offer some type of tangible remedy (Goodwin and Ross, 1992). Such responsiveness should not be limited to complaints about service performance (such as baggage handling), but to all complaints (including complaints about the attitudes of personnel that are seldom responded to). In the current study, employee rudeness, lying and dishonesty were among the top reasons cited for customer complaints. The Internet further allows for written apologies and immediate tangible redress (such as electronic travel vouchers), when appropriate, that can be transmitted electronically to consumers. The Internet enables companies to respond individually and immediately.

If management, once alerted to the problem, decides to take some form of corrective action within the organization, a personalized follow-up can be e-mailed to the customer. The customer can once again be thanked for bringing the problem to the company's attention, assured that appropriate corrective actions have been taken, and encouraged to use the company's services again in the future.

Particular problem areas and opportunities can be identified

While the personal responsiveness of a call center is essential, an efficiently designed Web site has an added advantage. Not only can consumer complaints be processed and responded to individually (as with the call center), but data can be aggregated (as was done in the current study) to identify particular problem areas as well as new opportunities (Harrison-Walker and Erdem, 2000). For example, problem areas identified in the current research suggest an immediate need for training contact personnel and customer service representatives to improve courtesy as well as competence, and for control processes to be put into place with regard to baggage handling.

By analyzing the aggregated data, new market opportunities can also be identified. For example, as baby boomers get older and continue traveling,

there will be more passengers with special needs. Monitoring the complaint data over time to identify the special needs as they emerge may give the airlines a competitive edge.

New product or service ideas

Reviewing the complaint data may further give rise to new product or service ideas. For example, there were a number of passengers traveling with infants. The new product or service ideas might include children's snacks or meals, promotional toys to entertain restless toddlers, facilities to warm bottles or baby foods, or diaper changing facilities.

An additional advantage of a well-designed Web site is its contribution to corporate image. More specifically, corporate image plays a role not only in attracting new customers, but also in regaining dissatisfied customers (Andreassen, 1999).

Facilitating complaint management through the adoption of call centers and the efficient design of a company Web site requires systems integration. Each and every time a customer makes a contact with the company (whether to make a purchase, make an inquiry, or file a complaint), the nature of the contact should be registered on a centralized customer activity record (CAR). Further, a customer's CAR should be readily accessible by all boundary-spanning personnel. Complete information about a customer allows the employee to get a complete picture of the customer's buying habits, concerns and priorities, and allows the employee to respond on an individualized basis. For example, when an airline traveler calls in to file a complaint, service personnel should be able to pull up the customer's CAR. The CAR should show, for example:

(1) how often the customer travels;

(2) travel patterns in terms of destination and timing;

(3) how long the individual has been a customer;

(4) any specific inquiries made regarding the availability of special services; and

(5) the number and type of complaints registered in the past, if any.

The customer's CAR enables the customer service representative to provide personalized attention.

Successful results of the company's actions should be communicated to the public at large. Press releases may be created that report aggregated results, such as the decline in the number of complaints or a new service created by the company reflecting responsiveness to evolving consumer needs. Human-interest stories can be disseminated reflecting how the company handled a particularly unique situation. These stories can be also be reported in capsulated versions on the company's Web site to let site visitors know that the company cares and is responsive to the needs of its customers. The responsive actions of the company should not be kept secret.

Companies should work the Internet to their advantage

In summary, rather than adopting a defensive posture, companies should work the Internet to their advantage. They should facilitate customer complaints, particularly those voiced through the Internet. Boundary-spanning personnel should have access to each customer's CAR to permit personalized responses. Employees should be trained to thank each customer for bringing the problem to the company's attention (i.e. so that management can take corrective measures) and should tell the customer how much his/her business is appreciated (Blodgett *et al.*, 1995). Further, management should

analyze the aggregated feedback from customers on an ongoing basis and respond with marketing strategies directed at gaining and maintaining a competitive edge (Harrison-Walker and Erdem, 2000). The responsive actions of the company should be communicated to its Web site visitors, as well as to the public at large.

References

Adamson, C. (1991), "Complaint handling: benefits and best practice", *Consumer Policy Review*, Vol. 1 No.4, pp. 196-203.

Andreassen, T.W. (1999), "What drives customer loyalty with complaint resolution?", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 1 No. 4, pp. 324-32.

Barnes, J.C. and Kelloway, K.R. (1980), "Consumerists: complaining behavior and attitudes toward social and consumer issues", in Olsen, J.C. (Ed.), *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 7, Association for Consumer Research, Ann Arbor, MI.

Bearden, W.O. and Teel, J.E. (1983), "Selected determinants of consumer satisfaction and complaint reports", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 20, pp. 21-8.

Best, A. and Andreasen, A.R. (1977), "Consumer responses to unsatisfactory purchases: a survey of perceiving defects, voicing complaints, and obtaining redress", *Law and Society Review II*, Spring, pp. 701-42.

Blodgett, J.G., Wakefield, K.L. and Barnes, J.H. (1995), "The effects of customer service on complaining behavior", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 31-42.

Bolfing, C.P. (1989), "How do customers express dissatisfaction and what can service marketers do about it?", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 3 No.2, pp. 5-23.

Broadbridge, A. and Marshall, J. (1995), "Consumer complaint behavior: the case of electrical goods", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 23 No. 9, pp. 8-18.

Carmel, S. (1990), "Patient complaint strategies in a general hospital", *Hospital and Health Services Administration*, Vol. 35 No.2, pp. 277-88.

Cornwell, T.B., Bleigh, A. and Babakus, E. (1991), "Complaint behavior of Mexican army consumers to a third party", *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol. 25 No.1, pp. 1-18.

Day, R.L. (1984), "Modeling choices among alternative responses to dissatisfaction", in Kinnear, T. (Ed.), *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 11, Association for Consumer Research, Ann Arbor, MI, pp. 496-9.

Day, R.L. and Landon, E.L. Jr. (1977), "Toward a theory of consumer complaining behavior", in Woodside, A., Sheth, J. and Bennet, P. (Eds), *Consumer and Industrial Buying Behavior*, North Holland, New York, NY.

Day, R.L., Granbicke, K., Schaetzle, P. and Staubach, F. (1981), "The hidden agenda of consumer complaining", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 57 No.3, pp. 86-106.

Desatnick, R.L. (1988), *Managing to Keep the Customer*, Houghton-Mifflin, Boston, MA.

Diener, B.J. (1975), *Information and Redress: Consumer Needs and Corporate Responses*, Marketing Science Institute, Cambridge, MA.

Dolinsky, A.L. (1994), "A consumer complaint framework with resulting strategies", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 8 No.3, pp. 27-39.

Folkes, V.S. (1984), "Consumer reactions to product failure: an attributional approach", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 10, March, pp. 393-409.

Fornell, C. and Wernerfelt, B. (1987), "Defensive marketing strategy by customer complaint management: a theoretical analysis", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 24, November, pp. 337-46.

Gilly, M.C. and Gelb, B.D. (1982), "Post-purchase consumer processes and the complaining consumer", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 9, December, pp. 323-8.

Gilly, M.C., Stevenson, W.B. and Yale, L.J. (1981), "Dynamics of complaint management in the service organization", *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 295-322.

Goodwin, C. and Ross, I. (1990), "Consumer evaluations of responses to complaints: what's fair and why?", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 39-47.

Goodwin, C. and Ross, I. (1992), "Consumer responses to service failures: influence of procedural and interactional fairness perceptions", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 25, pp. 149-63.

Halstead, D., Droge, C. and Cooper, M.B. (1993), "Product warranties and post-purchase service: a model of consumer satisfaction with complaint resolution", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 7 No.1, pp. 33-40.

Harrison-Walker, L.J. and Erdem, S.A. (2000), Consumer complaining behavior: the case of the Internet", in Hartmann, J.J. and Mallette, P. (Eds), *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual Meeting of the Western Decision Sciences Institute*, Maui, Hawaii, 18-21 April, WDSI, pp. 737-40.

Horovitz, J. (1987), "How to check the quality of customer service and raise the standard", *International Management*, pp. 34-5.

Kelley, S.W., Hoffman, K.D. and Davis, M.A. (1993), "A typology of retail failures and recoveries", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 69 No. 4, pp. 429-52.

Krapfel, R.E. Jr (1988), " Consumer complaints and salesperson response: the effects of the communication source", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 64 No. 2, Summer, pp. 181-98.

Krishnan, S. and Valle, V.A. (1979), "Dissatisfaction: attributions and consumer complaint behavior", in Willie, W. (Ed.), *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 6, Association for Consumer Research, Miami, FL, pp. 445-9.

Marlatt, A. (1998), "Who's owner of Chasesucks.com and Chasestinks? Three guesses", *Internet World,* formerly *Web Week*, http:\\iw.com/print/1998/06/15/in.../19980615-antidomains.htm

Martin, C.L. (1994), "Consumer experiences calling toll-free corporate hotlines", *Journal of Business Communication*, Vol. 31 No. 3, July, pp. 195-212.

McCollough, M.A. and Bharadwaj, S.G. (1992), "The recovery paradox: an examination of consumer satisfaction in relation to disconfirmation, service quality, and attribution-based theories", in Allen, C.T. *et al.* (Eds), *Marketing Theory and Application*, American Marketing Association: Chicago, IL.

Mitchell, V.W. (1993), "Handling consumer complaint information: how and why?", *Management Decision*, Vol. 31 No.3, pp. 21-8.

Mitchell, V.W. and Critchlow, C. (1993), "Dealing with complaints", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 15-22.

Morganowsky, M.N. and Buckley, H.M. (1987), "Complaint behavior: analysis by demographics, lifestyle, consumer values", in Wallendorf, M. and Anderson, P. (Eds), *Advances in Consumer Research,* Association for Consumer Research, Provo, UT, pp. 218-22.

Morganowsky, M.N. and Buckley, H.M. (2000), "Mystery shopping study shows where dot-coms fail consumers", *Accutips Newsletter*, A-A-C-S, Inc./AccuData America (http://www.accudata-america.com), March.

Nader, L. (1980), *No Access to Law, Alternatives to the American Judicial System*, Academic Press, New York, NY.

Office of Fair Trading (1991), *Consumer Redress Mechanisms: A Report by the Director General of Fair Trading Info Systems for Resolving Consumer Complaints*, November.

Reichheld, F.F. (1993), "Loyalty based management", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 71, March/April, pp. 64-74.

Richins, M.L. (1979), "Consumer complaining process: a comprehensive model", in Day, R. and Hunt, H.K. (Eds), *New Dimensions of Consumer Satisfaction and Complaining Behavior, 3rd Annual Conference on Consumer Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, Chicago, IL, 5-7 October, School of Business, Indiana University, pp. 502-6.

Richins, M. (1983a), "Negative word-of-mouth by dissatisfied consumers: a pilot study", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 47, Winter, pp. 68-78.

Richins, M.L. (1983b), "An analysis of consumer interaction styles in the marketplace", *Journal of Consumer Research*, 10 June, pp. 73-82.

Salerno, D. (1988), "An interpersonal approach to writing negative messages", *The Journal of Business Communications*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 41-51.

Scarborough, N. and Zimmerer, T. (1991), *Effective Small Business Management, 3rd ed.*, Merrill Publishing, Columbus, OH (Copyright MCB University Press Ltd, 1995).

Schouten, V. and Van Raiij, W. (1990), "Consumer problems and satisfaction in a retail setting", *Consumer Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction and Complaint Behavior*, Vol. 3, pp. 56-60.

Sellers, P. (1988), "How to handle customers' gripes", *Fortune*, 24 October, pp. 88-100.

Singh, J. (1988), ''Consumer complaint intentions and behavior: definitional and taxonomical issues'', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 52, January, pp. 93-107.

Singh, J. (1990a), ''Voice, exit and negative word-of-mouth behaviors: an investigation across three service categories'', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 1-15.

Singh, J. (1990b), ''A typology of consumer dissatisfaction response styles'', *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 66 No. 1, pp. 57-97.

Singh, J. and Wilkes, R.E. (1996), ''When consumers complain: a path analysis of the key antecedents of consumer complaint response estimates'', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 24 No. 4, Fall, pp. 350-65.

TARP (Technical Assistance Research Program) (1979), *Consumer Complaint Handling in America: An Update Study*, White House Office of Consumer Affairs, Washington DC.

TARP (Technical Assistance Research Program) (1981), *Measuring the Grapevine: Consumer Response and Word-of-Mouth*, The Coca-Cola Company, Atlanta, GA.

TARP (Technical Assistance Research Program) (1986), *Consumer Complaint Handling in America: An Update Study*, White House Office of Consumer Affairs, Washington DC.

Tax, S.S., Brown, S.W. and Chandrashekaran, M. (1998), ''Customer evaluations of service complaint experiences: implications for relationship marketing'', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 62 No.2, April, pp. 60-76.

Warland, R.H., Hermann, R.O. and Wilits, J. (1975), ''Dissatisfied consumer: who gets upset and who takes what action'', *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol. 9, pp. 148-63.

Vanderleest, H. and Borna, S. (1988), ''A structured approach to handling customer complaints'', *Retail Control*, pp. 14-19.

■

This summary has been provided to allow managers and executives a rapid appreciation of the content of this article. Those with a particular interest in the topic covered may then read the article in toto *to take advantage of the more comprehensive description of the research undertaken and its results to get the full benefit of the material present*

Executive summary and implications for managers and executives

The Internet as a new channel for complaints
The emergence of the Internet has given rise to a number of sites where dissatisfied customers can air their grievances against a company. Firms such as Volvo and Chase Manhattan are attempting to defend themselves by registering site names which dissatisfied customers might select. Chase Manhattan, for example, has registered chasesucks.com, ihatechase.com, chasestinks.com and chaseblows.com. But customers with sufficient motivation to complain will always find a domain name and it will turn up in the various search engines.

The benefits of effective complaint management
Companies have much to gain by handling complaints rather than trying to avoid them. Effective complaint management can improve customer retention (which is important given the estimate that it costs five times as much to attract a new customer as to retain an existing one), deflect the spread of damaging word of mouth, promote more positive word of mouth, increase the customer's perception of quality, facilitate cross-selling opportunities to satisfied complainants, reduce the likelihood of legal proceedings, improve marketing intelligence, promote a positive company image and boost profitability. In some situations, a satisfied complainant may end up being more loyal than a customer who had no problem at all.

Companies should not simply monitor complaints. They should encourage people who are dissatisfied to seek redress so they will have the chance to remedy the problems and retain those customers' business. Service companies, in particular, have much to gain from managing complaints effectively. Services are inherently more variable than manufactured goods, and this variation is likely to give rise to more perceived problems.

A case study involving complaints against United Airlines
Harrison-Walker examines the complaints posted to the independent forum against United Airlines. The author discovered that employee rudeness, delayed flights, employee incompetence, misinformation and poor baggage handling were among the main reasons for complaints. More than half (52.3 percent) of Internet complainants had already lodged complaints with contact personnel at the airport. Many had voiced their complaint to the company by telephone, letter or e-mail.

Almost 20 percent of consumers admitted that the Internet complaint forum was their first attempt to lodge a formal complaint against the company. But since the Web site allows the consumer to have a copy of the complaint forwarded to United, some of these consumers may simply have been using the Web site as a convenient way of getting their complaint to the relevant airline personnel.

Firms should work the Internet to their advantage
Harrison-Walker recommends that, rather than adopting a defensive posture, firms should attempt to work the Internet to their advantage. Companies should regularly monitor complaint forums and promptly respond to complaints. Firms should make it easy for dissatisfied customers to complain directly to the company. This could be done through call centres staffed by specialists trained to resolve individual problems, efficiently

designed company Web sites or through chat lines which enable the customer to interact one-to-one in real time with a service representative.

Web sites enable data to be aggregated to identify particular problem areas and new opportunities. The Internet further allows for written apologies to complainants and immediate, tangible redress. For example, travel vouchers can, when appropriate, be transmitted electronically to customers.

Each time a customer makes contact with the company, the nature of the contact should be recorded on a file which would grow to show how often the person travels, to which destinations and when, plus how long the individual has been a customer, what his or her special requirements are and the number and type of complaints he or she has registered in the past. Each service representative should have access to this information, to be able to provide personalized attention.

The actions the company takes in response to complaints should be communicated to Web site visitors and to the public at large.

(A précis of the article ''E-complaining: a content analysis of an Internet complaint forum''. Supplied by Marketing Consultants for MCB University Press.)

This article has been cited by:

1. Katerina Berezina, Anil Bilgihan, Cihan Cobanoglu, Fevzi Okumus. 2015. Understanding Satisfied and Dissatisfied Hotel Customers: Text Mining of Online Hotel Reviews. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* 150227092510002. [CrossRef]

2. Weilin Lu, Svetlana Stepchenkova. 2015. User-Generated Content as a Research Mode in Tourism and Hospitality Applications: Topics, Methods, and Software. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* **24**, 119-154. [CrossRef]

3. Faranak Memarzadeh, Hyo Jung (Julie) Chang. 2015. Online Consumer Complaints About Southeast Asian Luxury Hotels. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* **24**, 76-98. [CrossRef]

4. Sabine A. Einwiller, Sarah Steilen. 2014. Handling complaints on social network sites – An analysis of complaints and complaint responses on Facebook and Twitter pages of large US companies. *Public Relations Review* . [CrossRef]

5. Brianna Rea, Yong J. Wang, Jason Stoner. 2014. When a brand caught fire: the role of brand equity in product-harm crisis. *Journal of Product & Brand Management* **23**:7, 532-542. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

6. Sung-Ho Lee. 2014. The Effect of the Reputation of Ski Resorts on Multidimensional Trust, Customer Satisfaction and Spread by Word-of-Mouth. *Journal of Digital Convergence* **12**, 615-624. [CrossRef]

7. Tammo H.A. Bijmolt, Eelko K.R.E. Huizingh, Adriana Krawczyk. 2014. Effects of complaint behaviour and service recovery satisfaction on consumer intentions to repurchase on the internet. *Internet Research* **24**:5, 608-628. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

8. Dr Angela Hausman, Shannon Cummins, James W. Peltier, John A. Schibrowsky, Alexander Nill. 2014. Consumer behavior in the online context. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing* **8**:3, 169-202. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

9. Norman Au, Dimitrios Buhalis, Rob Law. 2014. Online Complaining Behavior in Mainland China Hotels: The Perception of Chinese and Non-Chinese Customers. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration* **15**, 248-274. [CrossRef]

10. Minseok Choi, Kyeongseok Han, Jeongil Choi. 2014. The effects of product attributes and service quality of transportation card solutions on service user's continuance and word-of-mouth intention. *Service Business* . [CrossRef]

11. Moshe Sharabi. 2014. Today's quality is tomorrow's reputation (and the following day's business success). *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* **25**, 183-197. [CrossRef]

12. Ruth Avidar. 2013. The responsiveness pyramid: Embedding responsiveness and interactivity into public relations theory. *Public Relations Review* **39**, 440-450. [CrossRef]

13. Riyad Eid, Raja Yahya Al Sharief, Laila Hussein. 2013. Factors Affecting the Success of Online Branding. *International Journal of Online Marketing* **1**:10.4018/jom.20111001, 20-32. [CrossRef]

14. Jonas Colliander, Anders Hauge Wien. 2013. Trash talk rebuffed: consumers' defense of companies criticized in online communities. *European Journal of Marketing* **47**:10, 1733-1757. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

15. Moshe Sharabi. 2013. Managing and improving service quality in higher education. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences* **5**:3, 309-320. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

16. Tibert Verhagen, Anniek Nauta, Frans Feldberg. 2013. Negative online word-of-mouth: Behavioral indicator or emotional release?. *Computers in Human Behavior* **29**, 1430-1440. [CrossRef]

17. Cynthia R. Jasper, Paul Waldhart. 2013. Internet and distance channel use and European consumer complaint behavior. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research* **23**, 137-151. [CrossRef]

18. Tali Seger-Guttmann, Hana Medler-Liraz. 2013. "Calling Again...": Relationships Between Customer Repeat Calls, Hostility, and Service Quality. *Services Marketing Quarterly* **34**, 159-174. [CrossRef]

19. Muhammad Jaber Hossain. 2013. Designing User-Focused Marketing Culture in Academic Libraries: A Conceptual Outline. *Journal of Library Administration* **53**, 122-146. [CrossRef]

20. Anna S. Mattila, Luisa Andreau, Lydia Hanks, Ellen E. Kim. 2013. The impact of cyberostracism on online complaint handling. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management* **41**:1, 45-60. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

21. Soyoung Boo, Jooho Kim. 2013. Comparison of Negative eWOM Intention: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism* **14**, 24-48. [CrossRef]

22. Kaan Varnali. 2012. The Impact of the Internet on Marketing Strategy. *International Journal of E-Business Research* **6**:10.4018/jebr.20101001, 38-51. [CrossRef]

23. Su-Jin Oh. 2012. Analyzing Service Failure Themes on Online Healthcare Product: Focusing on Online Consumers' Word-of-mouse. *International Journal of Contents* **8**, 71-78. [CrossRef]

24. Ahmed Rageh Ismail, Gabriella Spinelli. 2012. Effects of brand love, personality and image on word of mouth. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal* **16**:4, 386-398. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

25. A. Sargeant, J. Hudson, S. Wilson. 2012. Donor Complaints About Fundraising: What Are They and Why Should We Care?. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 23, 791-807. [CrossRef]

26. Guda van Noort, Lotte M. Willemsen. 2012. Online Damage Control: The Effects of Proactive Versus Reactive Webcare Interventions in Consumer-generated and Brand-generated Platforms. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 26, 131-140. [CrossRef]

27. Erdogan Ekiz, Catheryn Khoo-Lattimore, Faranak Memarzadeh. 2012. Air the anger: investigating online complaints on luxury hotels. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology* 3:2, 96-106. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

28. Melissa A. Baker, Vincent P. Magnini, Richard R. Perdue. 2012. Opportunistic customer complaining: Causes, consequences, and managerial alternatives. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 31, 295-303. [CrossRef]

29. Nirupama Dharmavaram Sreenivasan, Chei Sian Lee, Dion Hoe-Lian Goh. 2012. Tweeting the friendly skies. *Program* 46:1, 21-42. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

30. Seul Lee, Brenda J. Cude. 2012. Consumer complaint channel choice in online and offline purchases. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 36:10.1111/ijc.2012.36.issue-1, 90-96. [CrossRef]

31. Beverley A. Sparks, Victoria Browning. 2011. The impact of online reviews on hotel booking intentions and perception of trust. *Tourism Management* 32, 1310-1323. [CrossRef]

32. Joshua Chang. 2011. Conceptualising the value of web content in marketing research. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 29:7, 687-696. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

33. ##, ###. 2011. The Effect of Social Network Characteristics on Social Support and Word of Mouth Communications. *The e-Business Studies* 12, 197-222. [CrossRef]

34. Håvard Hansen, Bendik M. Samuelsen, Tor Wallin Andreassen. 2011. Trying to complain: the impact of self-referencing on complaining intentions. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 35:10.1111/ijc.2011.35.issue-4, 375-382. [CrossRef]

35. ###, ###. 2011. The Impact of Tourism Web-Site's Reputation and Sense of Community on e-WOM Effects. *Jounal of Korea Service Management Society* 12, 1-25. [CrossRef]

36. Min-Ho Chun. 2011. Credibility of e-WOM in Travel Industry, and Its Influence in WOM Effect. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association* 11, 424-432. [CrossRef]

37. Qiang Ye, Rob Law, Bin Gu, Wei Chen. 2011. The influence of user-generated content on traveler behavior: An empirical investigation on the effects of e-word-of-mouth to hotel online bookings. *Computers in Human Behavior* 27, 634-639. [CrossRef]

38. Yong-Tae Song. 2011. The Effect of Vividness of Preannounced New Product Information on e-WOM in Internet Community and Intention of Internet Posting. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association* 11, 312-321. [CrossRef]

39. Jin-Ho Kim. 2011. Fully Automatic Facial Recognition Algorithm By Using Gabor Feature Based Face Graph. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association* 11, 31-39. [CrossRef]

40. Professor Seyhmus Baloglu, Dr Mehmet Erdem, Dr Pearl Brewer, Dr Karl Mayer, Min Gyung Kim, Chenya Wang, Anna S. Mattila. 2010. The relationship between consumer complaining behavior and service recovery. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 22:7, 975-991. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

41. Jan Breitsohl, Marwan Khammash, Gareth Griffiths. 2010. E-business complaint management: perceptions and perspectives of online credibility. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 23:5, 653-660. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

42. Young-Hee Jang, Chan Park, Ji-Sung Jung, Jae-Hyeung Park, Nam Kim, Jung-Sung Ha, Kwan-Hee Yoo. 2010. Integral Imaging Pickup Method of Bio-Medical Data using GPU and Octree. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association* 10, 1-9. [CrossRef]

43. Hwal-Sik Chang, Kwang-Oh Park, Zheng Wu. 2010. Factors Influencing Consumers' Actual Behavior based on Different Degree of Product Information. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association* 10, 175-187. [CrossRef]

44. Venessa Martin Funches, William Foxx, Eun Joo Park, Eun Young Kim. 2010. Assessing the Damage: An Exploratory Examination of Electronic Word of Mouth. *Journal of Global Academy of Marketing Science* 20, 188-198. [CrossRef]

45. Olivier Morrisson, John W. Huppertz. 2010. External equity, loyalty program membership, and service recovery. *Journal of Services Marketing* 24:3, 244-254. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

46. Francisco J. Sarabia Sánchez, María Concepción Parra Meroño. 2009. La sensibilidad del vendedor a la reclamación del cliente y su efecto sobre los comportamientos postqueja. *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de la Empresa* 12, 123-150. [CrossRef]

47. Sandeep Krishnamurthy, S. Umit Kucuk. 2009. Anti-branding on the internet. *Journal of Business Research* 62, 1119-1126. [CrossRef]

48. Anders Gustafsson. 2009. Customer satisfaction with service recovery. *Journal of Business Research* 62, 1220-1222. [CrossRef]

49. S. Umit Kucuk. 2009. Consumer empowerment model: from unspeakable to undeniable. *Direct Marketing: An International Journal* 3:4, 327-342. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

50. Robert Cowan, Mirella Yani-de-Soriano, Stephanie Slater. 2009. Revisiting Drucker's theory. *Journal of Management History* **15**:4, 452-466. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

51. Lloyd C. Harris, Emmanuel Ogbonna. 2009. Hiding Customer Complaints: Studying the Motivations and Forms of Service Employees' Complaint Concealment Behaviours. *British Journal of Management* . [CrossRef]

52. Alfred OgleMorphology of a hotel tradition: The guest questionnaire 169-214. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF]

53. Chia-Chi Chang. 2008. Choice, Perceived Control, and Customer Satisfaction: The Psychology of Online Service Recovery. *CyberPsychology & Behavior* **11**, 321-328. [CrossRef]

54. S Umit Kucuk. 2008. Negative Double Jeopardy: The role of anti-brand sites on the internet. *Journal of Brand Management* **15**, 209-222. [CrossRef]

55. Iryna Pentina, Concha Neeley. 2007. Differences in Characteristics of Online versus Traditional Students: Implications for Target Marketing. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education* **17**, 49-65. [CrossRef]

56. Pin Luarn, I. Jen Chen, Kai Yang Lo. 2006. An Exploratory Study of the Critical Success Factors of One-to-One Web-Marketing: User Perspectives. *Journal of Internet Commerce* **5**, 147-178. [CrossRef]

57. Cheol Park. 2005. Complaints of Asian shoppers toward Global Retailer: A content analysis of e-complaining to Carrefour Korea. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics* **17**:3, 25-39. [Abstract] [PDF]

58. Steve Baron, Kim Harris, Dominic Elliott, Kate L. Reynolds, Lloyd C. Harris. 2005. When service failure is not service failure: an exploration of the forms and motives of "illegitimate" customer complaining. *Journal of Services Marketing* **19**:5, 321-335. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

59. Hong-Youl Ha. 2005. Determinants influencing Consumer Perceptions of Web Site Trust and Outcomes. *Journal of Global Academy of Marketing Science* **15**, 1-25. [CrossRef]

60. Nichola Robertson, Robin N. Shaw. 2005. Conceptualizing the Influence of the Self-Service Technology Context on Consumer Voice. *Services Marketing Quarterly* **27**, 33-50. [CrossRef]

61. Hong-Youl Ha. 2004. Factors influencing consumer perceptions of brand trust online. *Journal of Product & Brand Management* **13**:5, 329-342. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

62. Anna S. Mattila, Jochen Wirtz. 2004. Consumer complaining to firms: the determinants of channel choice. *Journal of Services Marketing* **18**:2, 147-155. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

63. Charles Changuk Lee, Clark Hu. 2004. Analyzing Hotel Customers' E-Complaints from an Internet Complaint Forum. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* **17**, 167-181. [CrossRef]

64. Brian Tyrrell, Robert Woods. 2004. E-Complaint. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* **17**, 183-190. [CrossRef]

65. Thorsten Hennig-Thurau, Kevin P. Gwinner, Gianfranco Walsh, Dwayne D. Gremler. 2004. Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet?. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* **18**, 38-52. [CrossRef]

66. L. Jean Harrison-Walker, John I. Coppett. 2003. Building Bridges: The Company-Customer Relationship. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing* **10**, 49-72. [CrossRef]

67. Hong-Youl Ha. 2002. The Effects of Consumer Risk Perception on Pre-purchase Information in Online Auctions: Brand, Word-of-Mouth, and Customized Information. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* **8**:10.1111/jcmc.2002.8.issue-1, 0-0. [CrossRef]

68. Jochen Wirtz, Patricia Chew. 2002. The effects of incentives, deal proneness, satisfaction and tie strength on word-of-mouth behaviour. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* **13**:2, 141-162. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

69. Michael StellefsonConsiderations for Marketing Distance Education Courses in Health Education 222-234. [CrossRef]

70. Kaan VarnaliThe Impact of the Internet on Marketing Strategy 189-203. [CrossRef]

71. Riyad Eid, Raja Yahya Al Sharief, Laila HusseinFactors Affecting the Success of Online Branding 250-263. [CrossRef]

72. Xi Yu Leung, Manognya Murukutla, Mehmet ErdemThe Implications of Social Media in Hospitality Research 6791-6800. [CrossRef]